UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	USDS SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:
MANHATTAN REVIEW LLC, et ano.,	-x DATE FILED: 10-26-2016
Plaintiffs,	
-against-	16-cv-0102 (LAK)
TRACY YUN, et al.,	
Defendants.	- x
ORDER	
Each of the three defendants has complaint. In a report and recommendation dated James C. Francis IV recommended that the motions Each of the defendants has objected to so much of motions be denied.	August 15, 2016, Magistrate Judge be granted in part and denied in part.
The Court, which too declines to amended complaint save for those of which Judg considered the objections but perceives no error of fareport and recommendation. More specifically, t Manhattan Enterprise Group [DI 37, DI 40] are granare dismissed and is denied in all other respects. This granted to the extent that claims 6 through 8 and other respects. Plaintiffs, on or before November 1 complaint amplifying those allegations in claims 6 that Magistrate Judge Francis found insufficient in the	ge Francis took judicial notice, has act or law. Accordingly, it adopts the he motions of defendants Yun and need to the extent that claims 7 and 8 ne motion of defendant Kelly [DI 43] 11 are dismissed and is denied in all 4, 2016, may file a second amended though 8, and only those allegations,
SO ORDERED.	
Dated: October 26, 2016	
	/s/ Lewis A. Kaplan

Lewis A. Kaplan United States District Judge